New York Law Journal

WWW.NYLJ.COM

VOLUME 263-NO. 113

ASK THE FORMER REGULATOR

An **ALM** Publication

FRIDAY, JUNE 12, 2020

Expert Analysis

Relief for Condominium Sponsors Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic

uestion: I am a sponsor of a new construction condominium in Brooklyn. My first year of operation was to start on March 1, but was delayed due to the pandemic. Is there any relief available to me that I should know about?

Answer: As of the date of this article, there is some limited relief afforded to sponsors under certain executive orders signed by Gov. Andrew Cuomo. On April 16, Cuomo signed Executive Order 202.18, which provides the following relief to condominium sponsors:

• The 15-month statutory deadline to convert rental properties to cooperative or condominium status is tolled. It should be noted that this tolling only applies to conversion plans in New York City under General Business Law Section 352-eeee;

^{By} Erica F. Buckley



• The requirement to update the budget for the first year of operation for certain offering plans, including new construction condominium plans, if the first unit closing does not take place within the first six months of the first year of operation is tolled. Sponsors will be required to update the budgets within 30 days from the expiration of the executive order instead, and so long as the budget amount doesn't increase by 25% or more, no right of rescission will be afforded to purchasers; and • The requirement to have a unit closing within the first year of operation if the first closing does not happen within the first year of oper-

ation for new construction

condominiums is tolled. Sponsors will be required to update the first year of operation within 30 days from the expiration of the executive order, and will not be required to offer rescission due to any delay in having the first closing within the first year of operation.

Generally, emergency executive orders that suspend other laws are effective for 30-day periods and may be renewed for additional 30-day periods upon reconsideration of the facts and circumstances. See N.Y. Exec. Law Section 29-A(2)(a). The relief provided for by Executive Order 202.18 was extended for two additional 30-day periods by Executive Orders 202.29 and 202.39, with the latter set to expire on July 7. While the Real Estate Finance Bureau has not issued guidance yet on how to handle the expiration of the executive orders, it is likely that such guidance from the bureau will liberally construe the executive orders to ensure sponsors

ERICA F. BUCKLEY is the practice leader for the cooperative and condominium team at Nixon Peabody. She is the former chief of the Real Estate Finance Bureau at the New York Attorney General's office.

are able to benefit from the relief afforded to them due to the real estate market having been on pause during the pandemic.

By way of example, if your first year of operation was disclosed to commence on March 1, you will likely be afforded the opportunity to extend that timeframe by three months, thereby disclosing a new first year of operation of June 1. In the normal course, if you failed to have your first unit closing by Feb. 28, 2021, you would be required to offer all purchasers in contract a right of rescission. See 13 N.Y.C.R.R. Section 20.3(0)(12). However, given the relief afforded to sponsors under the executive orders, you would now have an additional three months to have your first unit closing.

What is less clear is how the Attorney General will interpret other impacts to a proposed condominium that may have changed due to the pandemic. While the executive orders state that a mere delay in having the first unit closing should not be treated as a material and adverse change, it is unclear how other impacts from the pandemic will be handled. For example, if a sponsor is unable to deliver all of the amenities as promised due to the need to impose social distancing requirements, will the Attorney General require a right of rescission? While the Part 20 new construction regulations make clear that a budget increase

of 25% or more or a delay in the first unit closing of more than a year are material and adverse, everything else is open to interpretation under the materiality standard. From case law, New York has adopted the materiality standard from federal securities law to determine when an omission is material and adverse. As described in the seminal case of *State of New York v. Rachmani*,

Generally, emergency executive orders that suspend other laws are effective for 30-day periods and may be renewed for additional 30-day periods upon reconsideration of the facts and circumstances.

"It is this: 'An omitted fact is material if there is a *substantial likelihood* that a reasonable shareholder would consider it important in deciding how to vote * * * It does not require proof of a substantial likelihood that disclosure of the omitted fact would have caused the reasonable investor to change his vote. What the standard does contemplate is a showing of a substantial likelihood that, under all the circumstances, the omitted fact would have assumed actual significance in the deliberations of the reasonable shareholder. Put another way, there must be a substantial likelihood that the disclosure of the omitted fact would have been viewed by the reasonable investor as having significantly altered the *"total mix"* of information made available."

State of New York v. Rachmani, 71 N.Y. 2d 718 (1988), quoting TSC Industries v. Northway, 426 U.S. 438 (1976).

While the executive orders provided relief to sponsors due to the delays caused by the pandemic, the relief did not go so far as to limit the Attorney General's ability to require rescission for other material and adverse changes. Therefore, sponsors will have to work closely with counsel in determining the overall impact of the pandemic on their offerings, keeping in mind that the standard for rescission is one based upon the materiality standard, which requires the Attorney General to find that the new disclosures to the offering plan are so extreme that had a buyer known of the new information, they likely wouldn't have bought to begin with.

Reprinted with permission from the June 12, 2020 edition of the NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL © 2020 ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved. Further duplication without permission is prohibited. For information, contact 877-257-3582 or reprints@alm.com. # NYLJ-06112020-451319